

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/523,899 | Applicant(s) RODRIGUEZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Q. JANICE LI | Art Unit 1633 | |

All Participants:

(1) Q. JANICE LI, PTO.

(2) Jonathan M. Sparks, Appl Rep.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Objection

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative was informed that the amended claim 5 still fails to further limit the base claim as objected on record. Mr. Sparks agreed to cancel claim 5 by an examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Q. JANICE LI/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)